## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS A. T	AYLOR	CASE NO. C08-03271 CW	
	Plaintist(s),	CV9T ((O) or see a	
MANAGEMENT	v. EDGWICK CLAIMS I SERVICES, INC Defendant(s).	STIPUT ATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
Course		and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The partics as	gree to participate in the follo	owing ADR process:	
Court ✓	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (A Early Neutral Evaluation (I Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	ADR L.R. 4) ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
appreciably n	nore likely to meet their need conference and may not file t	ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Priva)	te Process: Private ADR (please identi	ify process and provider)	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by.  the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)  other requested deadline			
Dated.	9/a8	Attorney for Plaintiff Doyles Taylor	
Dated: 9/19	10P3	1 XX Sal	

## Case 4:08-cv-03271-CW Document 16 Filed 09/25/08 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSE	<del>ED]</del> ORDER	
Purs	suant to the Stipulation above, the captioned Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	I matter is hereby referred to:
✓	Mediation Private ADR	
Dead	dline for ADR session	
✓	90 days from the date of this order. other	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		Cardieleit
	9/25/08	- Buotieren
Dated:		
		DISTRICT

UNITED STATES  $\frac{MAGISTRATE}{JUDGE}$